

[Access full Solution Manual only here](http://www.book4me.xyz/solution-manual-linear-models-searle-gruber/)

<http://www.book4me.xyz/solution-manual-linear-models-searle-gruber/>

## SOLUTIONS MANUAL

### CHAPTER 1

1 There are many ways to do this. One possibility for **A** is

$$\mathbf{P} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{5}{2} & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{Q} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\frac{3}{2} & -8 & 11 \\ 0 & 1 & 5 & -7 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \Delta = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Delta^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{G} = \mathbf{Q}\Delta^{-1}\mathbf{P} = \begin{bmatrix} 8 & -3 & 0 \\ -5 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

One possibility for **B** is

$$\mathbf{P} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -4 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{Q} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & -3 & -5 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \Delta = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

2 SOLUTIONS MANUAL

$$\Delta^- = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{3} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{G} = \mathbf{Q}\Delta^-\mathbf{P} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{19}{6} & \frac{11}{3} & -\frac{3}{2} & 0 \\ \frac{7}{3} & -\frac{7}{3} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & -1 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

- 2 There are as many generalized inverses to be found by this method as there are non-singular minors of order the rank of the matrix.

One possibility for  $\mathbf{A}$  is to use the  $2 \times 2$  minor in the upper right-hand corner. Its inverse is  $\begin{bmatrix} 8 & -3 \\ -5 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ . The resulting generalized inverse is  $\mathbf{G} =$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 8 & -3 & 0 \\ -5 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

One possibility for  $\mathbf{B}$  is to use the minor  $\mathbf{M} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 & 10 \end{bmatrix}$ . Its

inverse is  $\begin{bmatrix} -\frac{2}{3} & -\frac{4}{3} & 1 \\ -\frac{2}{3} & \frac{11}{3} & -2 \\ 1 & -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . The resulting generalized inverse is  $\mathbf{G} =$

$$\begin{bmatrix} -\frac{2}{3} & -\frac{4}{3} & 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{2}{3} & \frac{11}{3} & -2 & 0 \\ 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- 3 (a) The general solution takes the form  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{G}\mathbf{y} + (\mathbf{G}\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{I})\mathbf{z}$ . Using the generalized inverse in of A 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbf{x}} &= \begin{bmatrix} 8 & -3 & 0 \\ -5 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -13 \\ -11 \end{bmatrix} \\ &+ \left( \begin{bmatrix} 8 & -3 & 0 \\ -5 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & 1 & -1 \\ 5 & 8 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & -2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \begin{bmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \\ z_3 \\ z_4 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 31 + 8z_3 - 11z_4 \\ -21 - 5z_3 + 7z_4 \\ -z_3 \\ -z_4 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

<http://www.book4me.xyz/solution-manual-linear-models-searle-gruber/>

(b) Using the generalized inverse of  $\mathbf{B}$  in 1 we get in a similar manner

$$\bar{\mathbf{x}} = \begin{bmatrix} -8 - 5z_4 \\ 11 - 6z_4 \\ 2z_4 \\ -z_4 \end{bmatrix}$$

4 We have that

$$\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 1 & 11 \\ 1 & 11 & -9 \\ 11 & -9 & 31 \end{bmatrix}$$

By the Cayley–Hamilton theorem,

$$390(\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{A}) - 48(\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{A})^2 + (\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{A})^3 = 0$$

Then

$$\mathbf{T} = (-1/390)(-48\mathbf{I} + (\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{A})) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{7}{65} & -\frac{1}{390} & -\frac{11}{390} \\ -\frac{1}{390} & \frac{37}{390} & \frac{3}{130} \\ -\frac{11}{390} & \frac{3}{130} & \frac{17}{390} \end{bmatrix}$$

Then the Moore–Penrose inverse is

$$\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{A}' = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{39} & \frac{1}{13} & \frac{1}{39} & \frac{5}{39} \\ \frac{17}{390} & \frac{1}{65} & \frac{14}{195} & \frac{101}{390} \\ \frac{23}{390} & \frac{9}{65} & -\frac{4}{195} & -\frac{1}{390} \end{bmatrix}$$

5 By direct computation, we see that only Penrose condition (ii) is satisfied.

6 (a) For  $\mathbf{M}_1$ ,

$$\mathbf{G}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{3}{2} & \frac{5}{2} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

For  $\mathbf{M}_2$ ,

$$\mathbf{G}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{3}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{10} & -\frac{1}{10} \end{bmatrix}$$

4 SOLUTIONS MANUAL

For  $\mathbf{M}_3$ ,

$$\mathbf{G}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{4} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{3}{20} & 0 & \frac{1}{5} \end{bmatrix}$$

(b) A generalized inverse is

$$\mathbf{G} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 11 & -4 \\ 0 & -4 & \frac{3}{2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

There are infinitely many other correct answers.

7 (a)  $\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -2 \\ -2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}' = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -2 \\ -2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$

Non-zero eigenvalue = 4 for both matrices. Eigenvectors

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix} \text{ Thus, } \mathbf{U}' = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{S} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{\Lambda} = [4]$$

$$\mathbf{A}^+ = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} \\ \frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{4} \end{bmatrix}$$

Alternatively, by the Cayley–Hamilton Theorem

$$\mathbf{T} = \frac{1}{4}\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{K} = \mathbf{TA}' = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} \\ \frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{4} \end{bmatrix}.$$

(b) By direct matrix multiplication, we find that (i) satisfies conditions (i) and (ii) so it is a reflexive generalized inverse, (ii) satisfies conditions (i) and (iv) so it is a least square generalized inverse, (iii) satisfies conditions (i) and (iii) so it is a minimum norm generalized inverse and (iv) satisfies conditions (i), (iii), and (iv) so it is both a least-square and minimum norm generalized inverse but not reflexive.

8 There are a number of right answers to part (a) and (b) depending on the choice of generalized inverse.

(a) We have that

$$\mathbf{XX}' = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, (\mathbf{XX}')^{-} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{2}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{2}{3} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{X}_{mn} = \mathbf{X}'(\mathbf{XX}')^{-} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{2}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{2}{3} & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(b) We have that  $\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 3 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{3} \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\mathbf{X}_{ls} =$

$$(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-}\mathbf{X}' = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \end{bmatrix}.$$

(c) Both the minimum norm and least-square inverses are reflexive. We have

$$\mathbf{X}^{+} = \mathbf{X}_{mn}\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}_{ls} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{9} & \frac{1}{9} & \frac{1}{9} & \frac{1}{9} & \frac{1}{9} & \frac{1}{9} \\ \frac{2}{9} & \frac{2}{9} & \frac{2}{9} & -\frac{1}{9} & -\frac{1}{9} & -\frac{1}{9} \\ -\frac{1}{9} & -\frac{1}{9} & -\frac{1}{9} & \frac{2}{9} & \frac{2}{9} & \frac{2}{9} \end{bmatrix}$$

9 (a) Let  $\mathbf{G}$  be a generalized inverse of  $\mathbf{A}$ . A generalized inverse of  $\mathbf{PAQ}$  is  $\mathbf{Q}^{-1}\mathbf{GP}^{-1}$ .

Indeed,  $\mathbf{PAQQ}^{-1}\mathbf{GP}^{-1}\mathbf{PAQ} = \mathbf{PAGAQ} = \mathbf{PAQ}$ .

(b) The generalized inverse is  $\mathbf{GA}$  because  $\mathbf{GAGA} = \mathbf{GA}$ .

(c) If  $\mathbf{G}$  is a generalized inverse of  $\mathbf{A}$  then  $(1/k)\mathbf{G}$  is a generalized inverse of  $k\mathbf{A}$ . We have that  $k\mathbf{A}(1/k)\mathbf{G}k\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{AGA} = \mathbf{A}$ .

(d) The generalized inverse is  $\mathbf{ABA}$  because  $(\mathbf{ABA})(\mathbf{ABA})(\mathbf{ABA}) = (\mathbf{ABA})^2(\mathbf{ABA}) = (\mathbf{ABA})(\mathbf{ABA}) = \mathbf{ABA}$ .

(e) If  $\mathbf{J}$  is  $n \times n$  then  $\frac{1}{n^2}\mathbf{J}$  is a generalized inverse of  $\mathbf{J}$ .

$$\mathbf{J} \frac{1}{n^2} \mathbf{J} \mathbf{J} = \mathbf{J}$$

<http://www.book4me.xyz/solution-manual-linear-models-searle-gruber/>

6 SOLUTIONS MANUAL

- 10 (a) The identity and zero matrix and idempotent matrices. Also three by three matrices that satisfy the characteristic equation  $\mathbf{A}^3 - \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{0}$ .  
 (b) Orthogonal matrices  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}$  because  $\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{I}$ .  
 (c) The identity matrix, the zero matrix, and an idempotent matrix.  
 (d) No matrices.  
 (e) Non-singular matrices
- 11 Searle's definition means that for equations  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$  for a vector  $\mathbf{t}$ ,  $\mathbf{t}'\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{0}$  implies  $\mathbf{t}'\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0}$ . For (a)  $\mathbf{t}'\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}$  for any vector  $\mathbf{t}$ . For (b) if  $\mathbf{t}'\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{0}$ , implies  $\mathbf{t}'\mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Lambda}^{1/2}\mathbf{S}\mathbf{S}'\mathbf{\Lambda}^{1/2}\mathbf{U}' = \mathbf{0}$ . Multiply this by  $\mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1/2}\mathbf{S}$  to get  $\mathbf{t}'\mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Lambda}^{1/2}\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{t}'\mathbf{X}' = \mathbf{0}$ .

12 By substitution, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbf{x}} &= \mathbf{G}\mathbf{y} + (\mathbf{G}\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{I})(\mathbf{G} - \mathbf{F})\mathbf{y} + (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{F}\mathbf{A})\mathbf{w} \\ &= [\mathbf{G} + (\mathbf{G}\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{I})(\mathbf{G} - \mathbf{F})]\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + (\mathbf{G}\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{I})(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{F}\mathbf{A})\mathbf{w} \\ &= [\mathbf{G}\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{G}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{G}\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{G}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{F}\mathbf{A}]\mathbf{x} + (\mathbf{G}\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{G}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{F}\mathbf{A})\mathbf{w} \\ &= [\mathbf{G}\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{G}\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{G}\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{G}\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{F}\mathbf{A}]\mathbf{x} + (\mathbf{G}\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{G}\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{F}\mathbf{A})\mathbf{w} \\ &= \mathbf{F}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + (\mathbf{F}\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{I})\mathbf{w} \\ &= \mathbf{F}\mathbf{y} + (\mathbf{F}\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{I})\mathbf{w}. \end{aligned}$$

13 The matrix  $(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{G}\mathbf{A})$  is idempotent so it is its own generalized inverse. The requested solution is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{w} &= (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{G}\mathbf{A})(\mathbf{G} - \mathbf{F})\mathbf{y} + (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{G}\mathbf{A})(\mathbf{F}\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{I})\mathbf{z} \\ &= (\mathbf{G}\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{F}\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{G}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{G}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{A})\mathbf{x} + (\mathbf{F}\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{G}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{G}\mathbf{A})\mathbf{z} \\ &= (\mathbf{G}\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{F}\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{G}\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{G}\mathbf{A})\mathbf{x} + (\mathbf{F}\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{G}\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{G}\mathbf{A})\mathbf{z} \\ &= (\mathbf{G} - \mathbf{F})\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + (\mathbf{F}\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{I})\mathbf{z} \\ &= (\mathbf{G} - \mathbf{F})\mathbf{y} + (\mathbf{F}\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{I})\mathbf{z}. \end{aligned}$$

- 14 (a) Since  $\mathbf{A}$  has full-column rank, so does  $\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{A}$  (see, for example, Gruber (2014) Theorem 6.4). Also  $\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{A}$  has full-row rank so it is non-singular. As a result, since  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}$ ,  $\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{A}\mathbf{G}\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}'\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{G}\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{I}$ . Then  $\mathbf{G}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{G}$  and  $\mathbf{G}\mathbf{A}$  is a symmetric matrix.  
 (b) Since  $\mathbf{A}$  has full-row rank,  $\mathbf{A}'$  has full-column rank. Then  $\mathbf{G}'$  is a left inverse of  $\mathbf{A}'$  and  $\mathbf{G}'\mathbf{A}' = \mathbf{I}$ , so  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{I}$  and  $\mathbf{G}$  is a right inverse. Then  $\mathbf{G}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{G}$  and  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{G}$  is a symmetric matrix.
- 15 Suppose that the singular value decomposition of  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{S}'\mathbf{\Lambda}^{1/2}\mathbf{U}'$ . Then  $\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Lambda}\mathbf{U}'$ ,  $(\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{A})^p = (\mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Lambda}\mathbf{U}')^p(\mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Lambda}\mathbf{U}') = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Lambda}^p\mathbf{U}'$ . Then since  $\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{A})^{r+1} = (\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{A})^r$ ,  $\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Lambda}^{r+1}\mathbf{U}' = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Lambda}^r\mathbf{U}'$ . Post-multiply both sides of this equation by  $\mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Lambda}^{-r}\mathbf{U}'$  to obtain  $\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Lambda}\mathbf{U}' = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{U}'$ . Now post-multiply both sides by  $\mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1/2}\mathbf{S}$  so that  $\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Lambda}\mathbf{U}'\mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Lambda}^{1/2}\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{U}'\mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Lambda}^{1/2}\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Lambda}^{1/2}\mathbf{S}$  and thus  $\mathbf{T}\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}' = \mathbf{A}'$ .

16 Any singular idempotent matrix would have the identity matrix for a generalized inverse. For example,  $\mathbf{M} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

17 Assume that  $\mathbf{B}^-\mathbf{A}^-$  is a generalized inverse of  $\mathbf{AB}$ . Then

$$\mathbf{ABB}^-\mathbf{A}^-\mathbf{AB} = \mathbf{AB}$$

Pre-multiply the above equation by  $\mathbf{A}^-$  and post-multiply it by  $\mathbf{B}^-$ . Then

$$\mathbf{A}^-\mathbf{ABB}^-\mathbf{A}^-\mathbf{ABB}^- = \mathbf{A}^-\mathbf{ABB}^-$$

so that  $\mathbf{A}^-\mathbf{ABB}^-$  is idempotent.

Now suppose that  $\mathbf{A}^-\mathbf{ABB}^-$  is idempotent. Then

$$\mathbf{A}^-\mathbf{ABB}^-\mathbf{A}^-\mathbf{ABB}^- = \mathbf{A}^-\mathbf{ABB}^-$$

Pre-multiply this equation by  $\mathbf{A}$  and post-multiply it by  $\mathbf{B}$  to obtain

$$\mathbf{AA}^-\mathbf{ABB}^-\mathbf{A}^-\mathbf{ABB}^-\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{AA}^-\mathbf{ABB}^-\mathbf{B}.$$

By virtue of  $\mathbf{AA}^-\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{BB}^-\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B}$ , we get

$$\mathbf{ABB}^-\mathbf{A}^-\mathbf{AB} = \mathbf{AB}$$

so that  $\mathbf{B}^-\mathbf{A}^-$  is a generalized inverse of  $\mathbf{AB}$ .

18 See Exercise 15 for an example where a matrix and its generalized inverse are not of the same rank.

First assume that  $\mathbf{G}$  is a reflexive generalized inverse of  $\mathbf{A}$ . From  $\mathbf{AGA} = \mathbf{A}$   $\text{rank}(\mathbf{A}) \leq \text{rank}(\mathbf{G})$ . Likewise from  $\mathbf{GAG} = \mathbf{G}$   $\text{rank}(\mathbf{G}) \leq \text{rank}(\mathbf{A})$  so that  $\text{rank}(\mathbf{G}) = \text{rank}(\mathbf{A})$ .

On the other hand suppose that  $\mathbf{G}$  is a generalized inverse of  $\mathbf{A}$  with the same rank  $r$  as  $\mathbf{A}$ . We can find non-singular matrices  $\mathbf{P}$  and  $\mathbf{Q}$  where

$$\mathbf{PAQ} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_r & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \text{ and as a result, } \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{P}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_r & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q}^{-1}$$

$\mathbf{A}$  generalized inverse takes the form

$$\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{Q} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_r & \mathbf{C}_{12} \\ \mathbf{C}_{21} & \mathbf{C}_{22} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{P}$$

Because  $\mathbf{G}$  has rank  $r$  and the first  $r$  columns are linearly independent,  $\mathbf{C}_{22} = \mathbf{C}_{12}\mathbf{C}_{21}$ . The verification that  $\mathbf{G}$  is a reflexive generalized inverse follows by straightforward matrix multiplication.

8 SOLUTIONS MANUAL

19 We have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{AGA} &= \mathbf{P}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{D} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q}^{-1} \mathbf{Q} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{D}^{-1} & \mathbf{X} \\ \mathbf{Y} & \mathbf{Z} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{P}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{D} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q}^{-1} \\ &= \mathbf{P}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{D} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{D}^{-1} & \mathbf{X} \\ \mathbf{Y} & \mathbf{Z} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{D} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q}^{-1} \\ &= \mathbf{P}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{DX} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{D} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q}^{-1} = \mathbf{P}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{D} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q}^{-1} = \mathbf{A}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\mathbf{A}$  is a generalized inverse of  $\mathbf{G}$ . Also

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{GAG} &= \mathbf{Q} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{D}^{-1} & \mathbf{X} \\ \mathbf{Y} & \mathbf{Z} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{P}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{D} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q}^{-1} \mathbf{Q} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{D}^{-1} & \mathbf{X} \\ \mathbf{Y} & \mathbf{Z} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{P} \\ &= \mathbf{Q} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{D}^{-1} & \mathbf{X} \\ \mathbf{Y} & \mathbf{Z} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{D} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{D}^{-1} & \mathbf{X} \\ \mathbf{Y} & \mathbf{Z} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{P} \\ &= \mathbf{Q} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{YD} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{D}^{-1} & \mathbf{X} \\ \mathbf{Y} & \mathbf{Z} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{P} \\ &= \mathbf{Q} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{D}^{-1} & \mathbf{X} \\ \mathbf{Y} & \mathbf{YDX} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{P} = \mathbf{G} \end{aligned}$$

if  $\mathbf{YDX} = \mathbf{Z}$ .

In Exercise 1, a generalized inverse for  $\mathbf{B}$  could be

$$\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{Q} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{3} & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 3 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{P} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{91}{6} & -\frac{25}{3} & \frac{31}{2} & -32 \\ \frac{31}{3} & \frac{17}{3} & -13 & 32 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & -8 \\ \frac{7}{2} & 2 & -\frac{7}{2} & 7 \end{bmatrix}$$

This matrix is non-singular. However,  $\mathbf{B}$  is a  $4 \times 4$  matrix of rank 3.

20 (a) A generalized inverse of  $\mathbf{AB}$  would be  $\mathbf{B}'\mathbf{G}$ . Notice that

$$\mathbf{ABB}'\mathbf{GAB} = \mathbf{AIGAB} = \mathbf{AGABB} = \mathbf{AB}.$$

(b) Let  $\mathbf{G}$  be a generalized inverse of  $\mathbf{L}$ . Then a generalized inverse of  $\mathbf{LA}$  would be  $\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{G}$ . Observe that

$$\mathbf{LAA}^{-1}\mathbf{GLA} = \mathbf{LGLA} = \mathbf{LA}.$$

21 The matrix itself.



<http://www.book4me.xyz/solution-manual-linear-models-searle-gruber/>  
 SOLUTIONS MANUAL 9

22 (a) Let  $\mathbf{H}$  be a generalized inverse different from  $\mathbf{G}$ . We must find an  $\mathbf{Z}$  so that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H} &= \mathbf{G} + \mathbf{Z} - \mathbf{GAZAG}. \text{ Let } \mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{H} - \mathbf{G} + \mathbf{GAG}. \text{ Then} \\ &\mathbf{G} + \mathbf{H} - \mathbf{G} + \mathbf{GAG} - \mathbf{GA}(\mathbf{H} - \mathbf{G} + \mathbf{GAG})\mathbf{AG} \\ &= \mathbf{H} + \mathbf{GAG} - \mathbf{GAHAG} + \mathbf{GAGAG} - \mathbf{GAGAGAG} \\ &= \mathbf{H} + \mathbf{GAG} - \mathbf{GAG} + \mathbf{GAG} - \mathbf{GAG} = \mathbf{H}. \end{aligned}$$

(b) If we can generate all generalized inverses, we generate all solutions.

23 (a) We have that

$$\begin{aligned} &[\mathbf{U} \ \mathbf{V}] \begin{bmatrix} \Lambda^{-1/2} & \mathbf{C}_1 \\ \mathbf{C}_2 & \mathbf{C}_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{S} \\ \mathbf{T} \end{bmatrix} [\mathbf{S}' \ \mathbf{T}'] \begin{bmatrix} \Lambda^{1/2} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{U}' \\ \mathbf{V}' \end{bmatrix} [\mathbf{U} \ \mathbf{V}] \begin{bmatrix} \Lambda^{-1/2} & \mathbf{C}_1 \\ \mathbf{C}_2 & \mathbf{C}_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{S} \\ \mathbf{T} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= [\mathbf{U} \ \mathbf{V}] \begin{bmatrix} \Lambda^{-1/2} & \mathbf{C}_1 \\ \mathbf{C}_2 & \mathbf{C}_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Lambda^{1/2} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Lambda^{-1/2} & \mathbf{C}_1 \\ \mathbf{C}_2 & \mathbf{C}_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{S} \\ \mathbf{T} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= [\mathbf{U} \ \mathbf{V}] \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{C}_2\Lambda^{1/2} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Lambda^{-1/2} & \mathbf{C}_1 \\ \mathbf{C}_2 & \mathbf{C}_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{S} \\ \mathbf{T} \end{bmatrix} = [\mathbf{U} \ \mathbf{V}] \begin{bmatrix} \Lambda^{-1/2} & \mathbf{C}_1 \\ \mathbf{C}_2 & \mathbf{C}_2\Lambda^{1/2}\mathbf{C}_1 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

if and only if  $\mathbf{C}_3 = \mathbf{C}_2\Lambda^{1/2}\mathbf{C}_1$ .

(b) Observe that

$$\mathbf{X} = [\mathbf{S}' \ \mathbf{T}'] \begin{bmatrix} \Lambda^{1/2} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{U}' \\ \mathbf{V}' \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \mathbf{G} = [\mathbf{U} \ \mathbf{V}] \begin{bmatrix} \Lambda^{-1/2} & \mathbf{C}_1 \\ \mathbf{C}_2 & \mathbf{C}_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{S} \\ \mathbf{T} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{GX} &= [\mathbf{U} \ \mathbf{V}] \begin{bmatrix} \Lambda^{-1/2} & \mathbf{C}_1 \\ \mathbf{C}_2 & \mathbf{C}_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{S} \\ \mathbf{T} \end{bmatrix} [\mathbf{S}' \ \mathbf{T}'] \begin{bmatrix} \Lambda^{1/2} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{U}' \\ \mathbf{V}' \end{bmatrix} \\ &= [\mathbf{U} \ \mathbf{V}] \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{C}_2\Lambda^{1/2} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{U}' \\ \mathbf{V}' \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

is symmetric if and only if  $\mathbf{C}_2 = \mathbf{0}$ .

(c) Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{XG} &= [\mathbf{S}' \ \mathbf{T}'] \begin{bmatrix} \Lambda^{1/2} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{U}' \\ \mathbf{V}' \end{bmatrix} [\mathbf{U} \ \mathbf{V}] \begin{bmatrix} \Lambda^{-1/2} & \mathbf{C}_1 \\ \mathbf{C}_2 & \mathbf{C}_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{S} \\ \mathbf{T} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= [\mathbf{S}' \ \mathbf{T}'] \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I} & \Lambda^{1/2}\mathbf{C}_1 \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{S} \\ \mathbf{T} \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

is symmetric if and only if  $\mathbf{C}_1 = \mathbf{0}$ .

<http://www.book4me.xyz/solution-manual-linear-models-searle-gruber/>

10 SOLUTIONS MANUAL

24 For  $\mathbf{M}$ , we have

$$\mathbf{XMX} = \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^+\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X} \text{ by Theorem 10}$$

$$\mathbf{MXM} = (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^+\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^+\mathbf{X}' = (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^+\mathbf{X}'$$

$$\mathbf{XM} = \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^+\mathbf{X}', \text{ a symmetric matrix by Theorem 10}$$

$$\mathbf{MX} = (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^+\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}, \text{ a symmetric matrix by Penrose axiom applied to } \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}$$

Using the singular value decomposition recall that if  $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{S}'\mathbf{\Lambda}^{1/2}\mathbf{U}'$ ,  $\mathbf{X}^+ = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1/2}\mathbf{S}$ . Then

$$\mathbf{M} = (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^+\mathbf{X}' = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1}\mathbf{U}'\mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Lambda}^{1/2}\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1/2}\mathbf{S}.$$

For  $\mathbf{W}$ , we have

$$\mathbf{XWX} = \mathbf{XX}'(\mathbf{XX}')^+\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X} \text{ applying Theorem 10 to } \mathbf{X}',$$

$$\mathbf{WXW} = \mathbf{X}'(\mathbf{XX}')^+\mathbf{XX}'(\mathbf{XX}')^+ = \mathbf{X}'(\mathbf{XX}')^+, \text{ by the reflexivity of } (\mathbf{XX}')^+,$$

$$\mathbf{XW} = \mathbf{XX}'(\mathbf{XX}')^+ \text{ applying the Penrose condition to } \mathbf{XX}',$$

$$\mathbf{WX} = \mathbf{X}'(\mathbf{XX}')^+\mathbf{X} \text{ by Theorem 10.}$$

Using the singular value decomposition  $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{X}'(\mathbf{XX}')^+ = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Lambda}^{1/2}\mathbf{S}\mathbf{S}'\mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1}\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1/2}\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{X}^+$ .

25 By direct verification of Penrose conditions

$$\mathbf{UNU}'\mathbf{UN}^{-1}\mathbf{U}'\mathbf{UNU}' = \mathbf{UNN}^{-1}\mathbf{NU}' = \mathbf{UNU}',$$

$$\mathbf{UN}^{-1}\mathbf{U}'\mathbf{UNU}'\mathbf{UN}^{-1}\mathbf{U}' = \mathbf{UN}^{-1}\mathbf{NN}^{-1}\mathbf{U}' = \mathbf{UN}^{-1}\mathbf{U}',$$

$$\mathbf{UN}^{-1}\mathbf{U}'\mathbf{UNU}' = \mathbf{UU}', \text{ a symmetric matrix, and}$$

$$\mathbf{UNU}'\mathbf{UN}^{-1}\mathbf{U}' = \mathbf{UU}', \text{ a symmetric matrix.}$$

26 Again by direct verification of the Penrose axioms

$$\mathbf{PAP}'\mathbf{PA}^+\mathbf{P}'\mathbf{PAP}' = \mathbf{PAA}^+\mathbf{AP}' = \mathbf{PAP}',$$

$$\mathbf{PA}^+\mathbf{P}'\mathbf{PAP}'\mathbf{PA}^+\mathbf{P}' = \mathbf{PA}^+\mathbf{AA}^+\mathbf{P}' = \mathbf{PA}^+\mathbf{P}',$$

$$\mathbf{PAP}'\mathbf{PA}^+\mathbf{P}'(\mathbf{PA}^+\mathbf{P}'\mathbf{PAP}')' = (\mathbf{PA}^+\mathbf{AP}')' = \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{A}^+\mathbf{A})'\mathbf{P}' = \mathbf{PA}^+\mathbf{AP}'$$

and similarly

$$(\mathbf{PAP}'\mathbf{PA}^+\mathbf{P}')' = \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{AA}^+)'\mathbf{P}' = \mathbf{PAA}^+\mathbf{P}'.$$

27 (a) Using the singular value decomposition of  $\mathbf{X}$

$$\mathbf{X}^+(\mathbf{X}^+)' = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1/2}\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1/2}\mathbf{S})' = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1/2}\mathbf{S}\mathbf{S}'\mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1/2}\mathbf{U}' = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1}\mathbf{U}' = (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^+.$$

(b) Again using the singular value decomposition of  $\mathbf{X}$

$$(\mathbf{X}')^+\mathbf{X}^+ = \mathbf{S}'\mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1/2}\mathbf{U}'\mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1/2}\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}'\mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1}\mathbf{S} = (\mathbf{XX}')^+.$$